

Superior Court Judges' Association- Criminal Law and Rules Committee

Hon. Laura M. Riquelme Chair

Skagit County Superior Court 205 W. Kincaid, Room 202, Mount Vernon, WA 98273

Hon. Patricia M. Fassett Cowlitz County Superior Court 312 S.W. First Ave., Kelso, WA 98626

Hon. Amber L. Finlay Mason County Superior Court 419 N. 4th St., Shelton, WA 98584

Hon. Evan P. Jones Whatcom County Superior Court 311 Grand Avenue, Suite 301, Bellingham, WA 98225

Hon. David S. Keenan King County Superior Court 516 Third Avenue, Room C-203, Seattle, WA 98104

Hon. Jennifer R. Langbehn Snohomish County Superior Court 3000 Rockefeller Ave. M/S 502, Everett, WA 98201

Hon. Nelson K. H. Lee King County Superior Court 1211 East Alder Street, Seattle, WA 98122

Hon. Brian M. McDonald King County Superior Court 516 3rd Ave., Room C-203, Seattle, WA 98104

Hon. Maryann C. Moreno Spokane County Superior Court 1116 W Broadway Ave. Fl. 3, Spokane, WA 99260

Hon. Edmund Murphy Pierce County Superior Court 930 Tacoma Ave. South, Room 334 County-City Bldg., Tacoma, WA 98402

Hon. Andrea K. Robertson King County Superior Court 401 4th Ave. N., Room 2D, Kent, WA 98032

Hon. Stan J. Rumbaugh Pierce County Superior Court 930 Tacoma Ave. South Room 334 County-City Bldg. Tacoma, WA 98402

Hon. Michael K. Ryan King County Superior Court 401 4th Ave. N., Room 2D, Kent, WA 98032

Hon. Thad E. Scudder Cowlitz County Superior Court 312 SW 1st Ave., Room 233, Kelso, WA 98626

Hon. Shane Silverthorn Yakima County Superior Court 128 N. 2nd St., Rm. 314, Yakima, WA 98901

Hon. John C. Skinder Thurston County Superior Court 2000 Lakeridge Dr. S.W., Olympia, WA 98502

Hon. Christon C. Skinner Island County Superior Court 101 N.E. 6th St., Coupeville, WA 98239 Date: July 14, 2021

Honorable Charles W. Johnson, Co-Chair Honorable Mary I. Yu, Co-Chair Washington State Supreme Court Rules Committee Temple of Justice P.O. Box 40929 Olympia, WA 98504-0929

Re: Proposed Amendment to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8

Dear Justices Johnson and Yu,

The Superior Court Judges' Association (SCJA) has learned of a joint request from the criminal defense bar to amend CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8 in response to the decision in *State v. Blake*. We write in support of the suggested amendment to CrR 7.8 and propose alternative language for amending CrR 3.1.

Early this spring, the SCJA convened multiple meetings with stakeholders to discuss issues related to the impact of the *Blake* decision and how to efficiently and equitably administer justice on such a large scale. One area identified as presenting an unnecessary procedural hurdle was CrR 7.8(c)(2). When a statute is found to be void, invalid, or unconstitutional, an impacted person's path to seek relief directly from the sentencing court should be clear. The amendment to CrR 7.8(c)(2) that OPD, WDA, and WACDL proposed will ensure consistent application by superior courts when faced with requests to review such convictions.

The SCJA agrees that individuals serving sentences for void, invalid, or unconstitutional sentences should have access to counsel once determined eligible for relief under CrR 7.8(c)(2). However, the OPD, WDA, and WACDL proposal's complete elimination of a mechanism for indigency determinations is inconsistent with other court rules and statutes. We suggest creating two subsections to CrR 3.1(b)(2). The new subsection (A) should retain the current language of CrR 3.1(b)(2). The new subsection (B) should include the following alternative language:

A person shall be provided a lawyer where they have demonstrated under CrR 7.8(c)(2)(i) that they are (i) serving a sentence for a conviction based upon a statute determined to be void, invalid, or unconstitutional, or (ii) serving a sentence which was calculated under

RCW 9.94A.525 using a prior conviction based upon a statute determined to be void, invalid, or unconstitutional. Where that person is currently serving the underlying sentence in a correctional institution and was determined to be indigent at the time of sentencing, that person is presumed to remain indigent and has the right to assignment of counsel.

We recognize that the decision in *State v. Blake* affected convictions spanning a period of forty years. Thousands of impacted individuals remain incarcerated and await resentencing. Without a more comprehensive mechanism in place for *Blake*-impacted individuals to be identified and assigned counsel, these court rule amendments will serve to streamline the process for seeking relief so justice may be administered fairly and efficiently.

The SCJA urges the expedited adoption of OPD, WDA, and WACDL's proposed amendment to CrR 7.8(c)(2) and requests expedited consideration of our proposed amendment to CrR 3.1.

If you have any questions please contact me at (360) 416-1200 or at 205 W. Kincaid, Room 202, Mount Vernon, WA 98273.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours truly,

Judge Laura M. Riquelme, Chair SCJA Criminal Law and Rules Committee

cc: J. Benway, AOC Staff, Tom Creekpaum, AOC Staff

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

To: <u>Linford, Tera</u>

Subject: FW: Comment - Proposed Amendments to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8

Date: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:18:03 PM

Attachments: Letter from SCJA Criminal- Comments CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8.docx

From: Creekpaum, Tom

Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:17 PM

To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK < SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>

Cc: Benway, Jennifer <Jennifer.Benway@courts.wa.gov>; Laura M. Riquelme <lriquelme@co.skagit.wa.us>; Song, Jerome <Jerome.Song@courts.wa.gov>

Subject: Comment - Proposed Amendments to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8

Hello,

Please see the attached comment on the proposed amendments to CrR 3.1 and CrR 7.8 from the SCJA Criminal Law and Rules Committee.

Thank you,